

Columbia Integrated Systems Laboratory



A S P E N

High-Speed Sensing of the Electromagnetic Environment for Cognitive Radio Receivers Presenters: Matt Bajor, Ron Li



Outline

- Introduction
- Background and Motivation
 - High-Level System Diagram
- Problem Solution
- Electromagnetic Environment Aware (EMEA) Sensor
 - Standalone Performance
- Hardware Diagram
 - Modifications to the FPGA
 - Compressed Sensing Implementation in GNU Radio
 - MLBDE Input BER Cost Function Circuit
- Machine Learning-Based Decision Engine (MLBDE) Overview
- Multi-Armed Bandit (MAB) ML Problem Formulation
- MLBDE Proof-of-Concept Validation Results
- References



Introduction

- The EM environment is cluttered in multiple domains (frequency, time, angle, etc.) making reception with an outstation increasingly difficult.
- Current detection methods for finding available receiver whitespace do not scale well in terms of speed and energy consumption.
- We present a receiver architecture that can be used for sensing an emitter's spectral location in a fraction of the time and energy as the current state of the art.



Background and Motivation



Figure 1: N-dimensional "Resource Cube"

Strategy to Mitigate Bottlenecks: Combine Compressed (CS) Sensing with Machine Learning (ML)

A S P E N

High Level System Diagram



5

Problem Solution

Proposed Solution (and Assumptions about the EM Environment):

 Compressed Sensing (CS): By assuming sparsity (that there are much less jammers than possible signal locations (K<<N), compressed sensing can be used to drastically reduce the time it takes to perform a spectrum scan. It is also much more scalable than current sensing methods.



Reinforcement Learning:

 Using a ML based decision engine, we can make adaptive countermeasure decisions much more effectively than a lookup table (LUT) based approach. It is also more scalable than a complicated logic circuit or LUT.

Electromagnetic Environment Aware (EMEA) Sensor



- All spectrum sensing methodologies are ultimately limited by the Nyquist rate e.g. *N* measurements for *N* possible signal locations.
- By exploiting sparsity in the spectrum, CS can be used to take as few as *m* measurements where *m* << *N* [5].

 $m = KC_o \log(N/K)$

- A fully custom, CS enabled RF-ASIC with a single HW branch is used, called the DSIC (Direct Space to Information Converter) [4].
- The DSIC uses LO modulation to sense the frequency spectrum on 1 or 8 antennas.



Won 3rd place at RFIC 2018!

LNA + MIXER

25% Duty Cycle LOG

LNA +

MIXER \$

Standalone Performance of the EMEA Sensor



۲

1000 experiments

19 measurements (1 PN seq. \rightarrow 19 by branch expansion)

OMP Iterations = 4

- 1000 experiments
- 9 measurements (1 PN seq. → 9 by branch expansion)
 OMP Iterations = 2

Hardware Diagram





FPGA Modification Goals

- Embed sync input from GPIO port into IQ data to use as a time reference
- Reformat IQ data vector to include both channels' IQ data and sync signal in 32 bits to increase throughput to GNU Radio application



Кеер

Discard



FGPA Modification Overview

- Adapted from UHD 3.15 official release
 - Most changes were made in noc_block_ddc.v
- Channel 0 and 1 DDCs (noc_block_ddc) will share baseband IQ samples and repackage the IQ data and sync.



Detailed FPGA Modifications

Decimation, FIFO and Data Packager are new components

- Decimation
 - Decimates sync signal at the same rate as the DDC and buffers the decimated sync with a FIFO to preserve time alignment with IQ data
- FIFO
 - Buffers baseband IQ data to be sent to the other channel's noc_block_ddc instantiation
 - Data is read out of the FIFO when the other channel's data is valid, ensuring the packaged data is valid on both channels
- Data Packager
 - Packages IQ data from both channels and the sync into a single 32 bit vector



Compressed Sensing Implementation

- Digital branch expansion is used in GNU Radio to create *m* virtual branches
- Each virtual branch corresponds to a CS measurement in the frequency domain: $m = KC_0 \log(N/K)$
- Orthogonal Matching Pursuit (OMP) is used to recover the supports (e.g., Signals) -no signal reconstruction is required



CONSULTING

MLBDE Input BER Cost Function Circuit



ASPEN CONSULTING BROUP INC

Machine Learning-Based Decision Engine (MLBDE) Overview

- The goal of the ITRN system's Machine Learning-Based Decision Engine (MLBDE) module is to compute in real-time an optimal recommended frequency bin that is both robust and high-performing
- The MLBDE module processes spectrum sensing data streamed to it in real-time over a UDP socket interface that contains the set of frequency bins that are interfered (and estimates of channel BER values used to "score" the MLBDE recommended frequency bin)



Multi-Armed Bandit (MAB) ML Problem Formulation

- The MLBDE casts the ITRN frequency bin selection challenge as an instance of the contextual multi-armed bandit (MAB) problem
- The reward obtained by the action (A_t) of selecting frequency bin $A_t = k \in \{1, 2, ..., K\}$ at each step t is the complement of BER:
 - I.e. $R_t(A_t = k) = 1 q_t(k)$ where $q_t(k)$ is the BER for frequency bin k over the interval associated with time step t
 - The MLBDE performance metric evaluated over N steps is the average step-by-step reward (\overline{R}_N):

•
$$\bar{R}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N R_t = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{t=1}^N (1 - q_t(A_t))$$

- The average reward yielded when selecting frequency bin k:
 - $\overline{R}_N(k) = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^N R_t \cdot \mathbf{1}_{A_t=k}}{\sum_{t=1}^N \mathbf{1}_{A_t=k}}$
- Defining S_t as the set of frequency bins experiencing interference and $s_N(k)$ as the fraction of steps (out of the N steps $\{1,2,\ldots,N\}$) for which frequency bin k was interfered, the ML decision logic selects a high-performing bin (A_{N+1}) according to $\overline{R}_N(k)$ while avoiding bins in S_t :

$$-A_{N+1} = \max_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - S_N(k)$$

$$\lim_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_{N+1} = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k) - \sum_{\substack{k \in \{1,2,\dots,K\},\\\{k\} \cap S_N(k) = \emptyset}} \overline{R}_N(k)$$

 MAB policies / heuristics implemented as part of the MLBDE policy suite to process the channel information and output a recommended frequency bin:

| Policy / | |
|---------------------------|---|
| Heuristic | Description of Policy/Heuristic |
| Random | Periodically select a random frequency irrespective of the interfered frequency set or its past selections |
| Sticky Non- Interfered | A new frequency is not explored unless the current frequency is under interference |
| Random Non- Interfered | Periodically select a random frequency as long as the frequency is not under interference |
| ε-Greedy | Perform random exploration with probability ϵ (exploration) but use best frequency o/w (exploitation) |
| ε-First | Perform pure exploration for first ϵN trials and then pure greedy exploitation for remaining $(1 - \epsilon)N$ trials |
| ε-Decreasing | Similar to ε-Greedy, but uses a decreasing ε value as the experiment progresses |
| Epoch-Greedy | Experiment proceeds as a sequence of epochs where, in each epoch, exploration of new frequency bin(s) is pursued first followed by exploitation of the best frequency bin for the remainder of the epoch |

 Random policy procedures implemented primarily for comparison purposes



MLBDE Proof-of-Concept Validation Results

- The MLBDE software was validated in standalone mode using channel sensor input data collected offline and saved to file
 - MLBDE software compiled with g++ 7.5.0 (Ubuntu 7.5.0-3ubuntu1~18.04)
 - Tested on a "modest" Ubuntu 18.04 machine (Intel(R) Core(TM)2 Duo CPU P8700 @ 2.53GHz, 2 GB RAM)
- At experiment time, the sensor data was read from file and sent at "high speed" (e.g. ~662 Hz ← ~1.51 ms intervals) by a unit-test driver module to the MLBDE component via UDP socket API
 - Higher channel sensor input data rates are potentially supportable and are an area of further investigation
- **Epoch-Greedy** was the ML heuristic enabled in the experiments behind these results

| Test Purpose | Result / Key Finding |
|---|---|
| Compare Random policy versus Greedy heuristic | ML with Epoch-Greedy heuristic in use for 2 distinct input data sets reduced avg. BER of selected frequency bin by factors of 7.76 and 26.9 versus avg. BER achieved by a baseline Random policy |
| Process fast channel sensor updates | For a representative experiment with 101 frequency bins and 10 interfering signals per sensor update (@ 60 GeV Hz), MLBDE processed sensor updates originated every 1509 μ s in real-time |
| Validate use of interference side information (versus not) | Epoch-Greedy ML use of interfering signal side information yielded a reduction in avg. BER by a factor of \sim 2 (versus w/ no side information) \rightarrow Example of spectrum sensing benefit |
| Verify shift to robust bins by using $s_N(k)$ in decision logic | Using a compound metric $(\overline{R}_N(k) - s_N(k))$ to select next frequency bin (A_{N+1}) versus pure reward metric $(\overline{R}_N(k))$ shifts selected the bin preference to less-interfered bins by up to 74% |



Simulated EM Environment





Demo Video





System GUI and Example Data



20 A S P E N CONSULTING BROUP INC

Future Work Sensor Work



Conclusions and Future Work

- A Compressed Sensing (CS) driven receiver architecture can be used to sense the RF spectrum in a fraction of the time as current state-of-the-art techniques
- As a proof-of-concept, a CS-enabled EM environment aware sensor was successfully integrated into the GNU Radio framework, the output of which indicates the spectral position of jammers or interferers
- Jammer location is sent to a machine learning-based decision engine (MLBDE) which in turn takes appropriate action (retunes, switches band, etc.) by making the optimal corrective decision based on reinforcement learning
- We are currently benchmarking the ITRN and comparing it against other EMEA sensing architectures.



References

[1] J. Mitola, "Cognitive radio for flexible mobile multimedia communications", in Proc. IEEE Int. Workshop Mobile Multimedia Communications (MoMuC'99) (Cat. No.99EX384), Nov.1999, pp. 3–10.

[2] International Working Group "IEEE p802. 22/d1. 0 standard for wireless regional area networks part 22: Cognitive wireless ran medium access control (mac) and physical layer (phy) specifications: Policies and procedures for operation in the tv bands", IEEE docs, pp. 22–06, 2008

[3] E. Candes, "Compressive sampling." Proc. Int. Congress of Math, vol. Aug., pp. 67–94, 2006.

[4] M. Bajor et al., "An 8-Element, 1-3GHz Direct Space-to-Information Converter for Rapid, Compressive-Sampling Direction-of-Arrival Finding Utilizing Pseudo-Random Antenna-Weight Modulation," in RFIC. IEEE, 2017.

[5] J. A. Tropp and A. C. Gilbert, "Signal recovery from random measurements via orthogonal matching pursuit," IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, pp. 4655–4666, 2007.

