GNU Radio Software Defined Radio University Project-Based Learning Using the Lime Mini SDR 2.0, Raspberry Pi 5.0

Application Focus: Multi-Hop Mesh Networks using the Cluster Duck Protocol By Liam McCarthy, Benjamin Duval, Steve Dunton, Dennis Derickson

Outline:

- 1. GNU Radio Application Goal Physical Layer Flexibility for a Multi-Hop Mesh Network
- 2. EE504 Software Defined Radio Course Spring 2024: Getting up to Speed with GNU Radio
- 3. Our Summer 2024 Efforts to incorporate GNU Radio with the Cluster Duck Protocol
- 4. Summary and Next Steps.

<u>**GNU Radio Application Goal</u></u> – Open-Source Multi-Hop Mesh Network using the Cluster Duck Protocol</u>**



Source: https://clusterduckprotocol.org/

OWL's Ducks – The Old and the New

- Started Cluster-Duck-Protocol to run "Duck" LoRa Mesh Network Radios <u>https://clusterduckprotocol.org</u>
- A single CDP Packet fits into the LoRa payload
- Open source CDP Software, DIY radios: <u>https://github.com/ClusterDuck-</u> <u>Protocol/ClusterDuck-Protocol</u>
- Sponsored a Senior Project which turned into Summer Research using LimeSDR + Raspberry Pi



Parameter	Number of Bytes	Data Type	Description
SDUID	08	Byte Array	Source Device Unique ID
DDUID	08	Byte Array	Destination Device Unique ID
MUID	04	Byte Array	Message Unique ID
Т	01	Byte Value	Торіс
DT	01	Byte Value	Duck Type; 0=Duck Link 1= MamaDuck 2= PapaDuck
HC	01	Byte Value	Hop Count (The number of times that the packet was relayed)
DCRC	04	Byte Value	Data Section Cyclical Redundancy Code
Data	229	Byte Array	Data Payload (could be sensor data or any



Ouarter 2024

Source: Dr Dennis Derickson

<u>THIS IS THE FOCUS OF OUR EFFORT FOR GNU RADIO</u>

Provided Hardware used for Senior Project, EE504 and Summer Research



Software used, provided or found

GNU Radio Companion: <u>https://www.gnuradio.org</u>

DragonOS Raspberry Pi Image: https://sourceforge.net/projects/dragonos-pi64/

LoRa Out of Tree Module: https://github.com/tapparelj/gr-lora_sdr

LimeSuite: <u>https://github.com/myriadrf/LimeSuite/</u> LimeSuiteNG: <u>https://github.com/myriadrf/LimeSuiteNG/</u>



LimeSDR Mini 2.0 Pros/Cons

Pros	Cons
Wide frequency range	Limited output power
Highly configurable in GNU Radio without modifying FPGA	Requires good foundation of SDR understanding.
Small, Easy to transport and use in field.	Requires a lot of USB power under some situations.
Semi-affordable	Complex for beginners. Not easy to get started with as of 2024
Active software support, "next gen" LimeSuite	Current software currently does not work for LimeSDR Mini 2.0, no built-in GR 3.10 OOT Modules
SoapySDR Support for GR3.10	Not ideal for a dedicated solution to one modulation

Our Introduction to GNU Radio with the LimeSDR Mini 2.0

Senior Project: Exploration of Software Defined Radios in Mesh Networks



Tasked with exploring using Software Defined Radios on a theoretical Raspberry Pi Computer-based radio and pathfinding uses of the LimeSDR 2.0 Question: How do I learn to use my Lime Mini 2.0 and GNU Radio *quickly*

Answer 1: Go to the wiki tutorials! Answer 2: Also volunteer to TA and develop GNU Radio Lessons for EE504: Software Defined Radio Laboratory



Getting Lime Mini 2.0 Working on my Pi:

- First Step
- Took way longer than expected

Needed DragonOS to be successful and to be able to quickly get students onboarded

URAN

SOFTWARE DEFINED RADIO TOOLBOX

TECH MIND

1 · · · · ·

EE504 - Software Defined Radio Lab

Students started with exposure to ADALM-PLUTO and MATLAB from another TA, more in line with lecture topics

GNURadio

✓ MATLAB[®]∽

Transitioned to learning GNU Radio in lab ~Week 6, giving 5 weeks to learn GNU Radio and produce a unique final project







The QuAD Pro Prototype

- Separate processes run at the same time, each loosely representing one part of the "CDP Stack"
- Uses Redis, a simple IPC, to communicate between processes using message streams. Messages are queued up for tasks to complete to handle multiple messages
- Using separate processes with a defined IPC format allows us to in the future replace the "PHY Process" with a proces that can select and run flowgraphs



Redis Streams

GNU Radio for QuAD Pro Summer Research

- Protoype "QuAD Pro" Software developed and in debugging phase: (QuAD pro github)
 - SX1262 Driver, Hotspot + Web-server and CDP Packet code all written by students
 - Efforts in the 10-week program were to make a basic system others can debug, improve, and eventually use with SDR applications
- Preliminary "Robustness" and "Reliability" tests comparing SDR to old and new Semtech SX1276, SX1262 Transceivers.
- Improvement of LoRa and FSK Flowgraphs to minimize weaknesses and open up potential interfacing to other processes



SX1262 LoRa and FSK Transceiver

- Dual modem transceiver (LoRa/FSK).
- Frequency range: **150 960 MHz**.
- Data rate: FSK 300kbps, LoRa
 62.5kbps
- Max RF Output Power 22 dBm
- Low power consumption
- Objective: Compare the reliability and performance of SX1262 and LimeSDR Mini 2.0 using FSK/LoRa modulation across configurable parameters.



SX1262 Block Diagram <u>Source: Semtech Corporation, SX1262</u> <u>Datasheet, [Datasheet]</u>

LoRa and FSK Through GNU Radio - Can SDR compete with the SX1262

- > Why Compare FSK & LoRa on SDR vs. SX1262?
 - Application: Both have been proposed to be used on QuAD Pro
 - Flexibility: Can SDR quickly change LoRA/FSK parameters like the SX1262?
 - Dedicated Performance: SX1262 is hardware-optimized, how does SDR stack up?
 - Real-World vs. Emulated Results: Practical insights into differences in reliability and use cases
- > Expectations:
 - SDR: Adaptable, great for testing and prototyping but more likely to be susceptible to noise or demodulate incorrectly.
 - SX1262: Tuned for efficiency and real-world applications, much more power efficient than SDR. Likely to take slightly longer to set up even with given code than SDR





SDR Flowgraph vs New Duck Transceiver vs Old Duck Transceiver

- Bit Error Rate and Packet Drop frequency are our two main points to compare
- **Each hardware set is going to be slightly different**

SX1276: (LoRa only)

- Onboard Rev1 Duck, meaning code is run on a T-Beam board
- Outdated board doesn't work with newest ESP Drivers -> RadioLib Doesn't work -> FSK not possible. Used other LoRa library

SX1262:

- Uses GPIO pins of Raspberry Pi 5, WiringPi to control
- Also uses RadioLib with custom HAL code. Can compare FSK

SDR:

- USB Port of Raspberry Pi 5, requires drivers on DragonOS image (or proper version of LimeSuite)
- Flowgraphs can differ from true PHY, ability to add functionality not possible with Semtech Transceiver



LoRa on GNU Radio – gr-lora_sdr



 All true LoRa blocks were built by tapparelj:

https://github.com/tapparelj/grlora_sdr. Probably wasn't going to be able to do this myself with <2 months of GNU Radio experience

 Originally built flowgraphs for Senior Project to encode+decode CDP packets with custom blocks. Limited success due to packet corruption and perhaps SX1276 timing

Added blocks for more advanced file read/write options. Added config file to be used for semi-automated testing.

FSK Modulator/Demodulator



```
self.samp rate = samp rate
    self.fsk deviation = fsk deviation
    self.center freq = 0
    self.phase inc\theta = 2.0 * np.pi * (self.center freq - self.fsk deviation) / self.samp rate
    self.phase incl = 2.0 * np.pi * (self.center freq + self.fsk deviation) / self.samp rate
    self.phase = 0
def work(self, input items, output items):
    out = output items[0]
    in0 = input \ \overline{i}tems[0]
    # Process the input data
    if len(in0) > 0: # Check for data
        for i in range(len(in0)):
            if in0[i] == 0:
                self.phase += self.phase inc0
            else:
                self.phase += self.phase inc1
            out[i] = np.exp(1j * self.phase)
            if self.phase > 2.0 * np.pi:
                self.phase -= 2.0 * np.pi
    else:
        print("Received empty input data") # no data
```

return len(out)

name='FSK Demodulation', in_sig=[np.complex64], out_sig=[np.int8]

self.samp_rate = samp_rate
self.fsk_deviation = fsk_deviation

def work(self, input_items, output_items): in0 = input_items[0] out = output_items[0]

for i in range(1, len(in0)):|
 phase_diff = np.angle(in0[i] * np.conj(in0[i-1]))
 out[i] = 1 if phase_diff > 0 else 0
return len(output_items[0])

FSK on Gnu Radio

- Implemented a packet based system establishing a link between 2 LimeSDR mini-2.0s using FSK modulation.
- A CRC is calculated and used as our basic check if a packet is transmit and received correctly

Variable

Volum: 915P

d buffer out .

stast mus

Root Raised Cosine Filte Decimation: 10 Gain: 10

Sample Rate: 3.125H

iymbol Rate: 625k

Alpha: 1

Num Tape: 1k

ID: freq

Variable

ID: symb_rate

Walkan: 625k

ID: fdev

Variable

ID: samp_rate

Volue: 3.125H

custom message to bits

Preamble: 171, 2...3, 197, 95

File Path: (home/...nts/test2

Options

Output Language: Pythor

Generate Options: QT GU

Title: Not titled yet

Author: ben

crc_status

Virtual Source

Stream ID: dat







Testing setup



LoRa Performance Comparisons

Notes:

- Experienced abnormally high error rates/packet losses at extremely low data rates
- LoRa OOT module is restricted. "Requires too many taps" for extremely low data rate demodulation, I.E. these could not be compared with dedicated LoRa transceivers

SX1276/SX1262:

- Similar "low data rate problem" on both modules
- When packets were properly received, the SX1262 performed better in bit errors. More packets dropped led to the seemingly
 worse performance

Lime Mini 2:

- Consistent performance, much more susceptible to noise and gain settings
- Bit errors are to be expected unless settings are "perfect"



FSK Performance Comparisons

Performance at Lower Bit Rates (4.4 kbps-76kbps)

•SX1262:

- **PER:** 0%
- **BER:** 0%
- Reliability: Excellent, error-free communication at low data rates.

•GNU Radio LimeSDR Mini 2.0:

- **PER:** 2.94%
- **BER:** 0.13%
- **Reliability:** Consistent performance, moderate error rate.

Performance at Higher Bit Rates (153.6 kbps+)

•SX1262:

- PER: Increases up to 4% at 20 dBm at Fdev of 10kHz.
- BER: Increases up to 9.84%.
- Challenge: Significant errors, less reliable at high bit rates.

•GNU Radio LimeSDR Mini 2.0:

- **PER:** Consistent 2.94% across all configurations.
- BER: Typically, 0.00% to 0.13%.
- Advantage: Maintains steady performance even at higher bit rates.





Summarizing Results

Code used for all tests and results can be found in "tests" folder on our GitHub: <u>https://github.com/limccart7/GRCon-Project</u>

"Old" 1276:

- Could not test FSK because of older hardware compatibility issues
- Not as frequency/parameter agile as newer chip

SX1262:

- Best performance in terms of BER and packets dropped
- Using Raspberry Pi GPIO pins made setup, interacting with the chip slightly more difficult

Lime Mini 2:

- Not ideal for "high end" situations, higher BER and much more likely to drop packets
- Flowgraphs give us the ability to retransmit on CRC check failure, other ways of mitigating shortcomings

Future Work - A Frequency and Modulation Agile Transceiver for Raspberry Pi/QuAD Pro

- Use "QuAD Pro" Prototype's Inter-Process-Communication to integrate SDR Flowgraphs with ClusterDuck Protocol
- Develop a program that configures the parameters of flowgraphs and starts them. Eventual goal is to have a system that can quickly change its modulation and parameters based off user input





Questions

Links, Further Reading

- Our GitHub, again: <u>https://github.com/limccart7/GRCon-Project</u>
- Main QuAD Pro Prototype Summer Research: <u>https://github.com/limccart7/QuAD-Pro-Prototype</u>

References:

- https://clusterduckprotocol.org
- <u>https://github.com/tapparelj/gr-lora_sdr</u>
- <u>https://cemaxecuter.com</u> (DragonOS)